UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----:

GMO GAMECENTER USA, INC., : Case No.: 22-cv-5974

et al.,

Plaintiffs,:

V.:

WHINSTONE US, INC., : New York, New York

Defendant. : August 22, 2023

-----:

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATHARINE H. PARKER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: HAYNES AND BOONE LLP

BY: Leslie C. Thorne, Esq.

30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10012

For Defendant: DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

BY: Maeve L. O'Connor, Esq.

Brandon R. Fetzer, Esq. Elliot Greenfield, Esq.

66 Hudson Boulevard

New York, New York 10001

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

```
1
                 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Calling case
 2
     22-CV-5974; GMO Game Center versus Whinstone US.
 3
                Beginning with counsel for the
 4
     plaintiffs, please state your appearance of the
 5
     record.
 6
                MS. THORNE: Leslie C. Thorne and
     Aishlinn Bottini.
 7
                 THE DEPUTY CLERK: And counsel for the
 8
 9
     defendant, please state your appearance.
10
                MS. O'CONNOR: Good afternoon. Maeve
11
     O'Connor, Elliot Greenfield and Brandon Fetzer for
12
     the defendant. And with Your Honor's permission,
13
     pursuant to the Court's individual practices, it
14
     will be our associate, Brandon Fetzer that will
15
     address the Court today.
16
                 THE COURT: Okay. Great. So let's see,
17
     where do we start? Discovery. Let me hear from
18
     plaintiffs first about where you are.
19
                MS. THORNE: Sure. I think both parties
20
     have collected millions and millions of documents.
21
     We've been coordinating on an ESI protocol and on
22
     search terms, which is a little bit more complicated
23
     in our case given that a lot of the documents are in
24
     Japanese, so we have to engage translators and all
25
     of that to determine Japanese translation. Both
```

sides pulled, I think what we both acknowledge were too many documents in our first pull. So we've been going back and forth and trying to sort of pull things down to search terms that can get a more manageable pull. And I think we should be pretty close to that. I expect we'll be able to get that resolved in the next couple of weeks. We've also exchanged ESI protocols. We received Whinstone's comments back to our draft of ESI protocols last week. I don't think we should have too many remaining issues with that. So I expect that we will get those results.

THE COURT: Okay. Good. Anything else?

How many depositions are you -- remind me what

you're thinking in terms of depositions?

MS. THORNE: There are not going to be depositions yet. We will probably want to take ten depositions, including third-party depositions. I'm not sure what Whinstone is thinking in that regard. So you probably won't be surprised to hear I think we will come back to you and ask for a little bit more time to get discovery. We will discuss that amongst ourselves.

THE COURT: You should probably get any subpoenas out now because invariably you're going to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
have to talk with the recipients about scheduling.
And we've got back to school, Jewish holidays,
everything. September is a really busy month.
think you should go ahead and issue subpoenas so you
can get that ball rolling.
          MS. THORNE: Understood.
          THE COURT: Okay. All right.
          From defendant's standpoint.
          MR. FETZER: Good afternoon.
                                        Yeah, we
       I think right now the big gating issue is
search terms. At this point, we don't know exactly
how many documents we'll be reviewing. We should be
able to work that out in the course of the next few
weeks. Once we do, we'll have a greater insight
into how the rest of the case should play out. I
think I'll just echo that we agree that a limited
extension is likely just given where we are today.
           THE COURT: And you all have gone to the
actual facility now, right?
          MR. FETZER: Correct. That's right.
           THE COURT: Okay. To see the machines.
And I know Judge Cronin made a decision related to
the machines and where they need to be.
          MR. FETZER: That's right.
           THE COURT: Okay. Great. Now, is
```

1 Whinstone planning to file an amended answer? 2 MR. FETZER: Yes. THE COURT: Yes. Okay. And is that 3 4 going to have any impact on discovery? 5 MR. FETZER: I assume there will be some 6 additional document requests associated with the additional counterclaim, but I don't think it should 7 8 have a material impact on scheduling. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I just want the 10 parties to think about that when you're talking 11 about any extension of the discovery schedule. And 12 do the parties think that they're ready to reengage 13 on settlement or is that just not going to happen at 14 this point? 15 MS. THORNE: I would say not at this 16 time. And also your question about an amended 17 answer, I did want to note, as you know, a month or 18 so ago, Whinstone terminated the agreement. We 19 believe that's a wrongful termination, and so we did 20 want to advise the Court, we will be filing a motion 21 for leave to add a wrongful termination claim as 22 well. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So what you should do 24 is discuss that obviously with your adversary, and 25 if you can consent to that, build that into the

```
1
     schedule. Because I guess there would have to be
 2
     some discovery about the termination of the
 3
     agreement.
 4
                MS. THORNE: I don't think it will
 5
     fundamentally change the scope of discovery or
 6
     anything like that, but I suppose there will be
     some. I just wanted to advise the Court since we
 7
 8
     were talking about amending things. And we're happy
 9
     to talk about that.
10
                THE COURT: Okay.
11
                MR. FETZER: That's just the first we've
12
     heard of it. So we'll obviously need to talk with
13
     them and take a look at the pleadings.
14
                 THE COURT: Right. Okay. So I think we
15
     should schedule then another conference just to make
16
     sure that everything is moving along.
17
                 Chris, do we have a date, say, in the end
18
     of September, early October?
19
                MS. THORNE: Your Honor, I'm going to be
20
     out of the country the last week in September.
21
                 THE COURT: Okay. So we won't schedule
22
     it then.
              Maybe October, Chris.
23
                 THE DEPUTY CLERK: I'm looking. Hold on
24
     one second.
25
                 THE COURT: Didn't we just have a
```

1	cancellation?
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: You want to do
3	THE COURT: What day is that?
4	Yeah, we can do that. October 4 at noon
5	for a status conference. So your ESI protocol
6	should be done by then. A third-party subpoena
7	should be out by then. The amended answer should be
8	done by then. You will maybe have a proposed
9	amendment by then.
10	MS. THORNE: Absolutely.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And you should also
12	think about getting the key witnesses's schedule
13	lined up so you save attorney time and get those
14	dates in there. Okay. Anything else from GMO's
15	standpoint?
16	MS. THORNE: No, not today.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from
18	Whinstone's standpoint?
19	MR. FETZER: Nothing further for today,
20	Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Good. Nice to see
22	everybody. Thanks for coming in.
23	
24	000
25	
	AMM TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE - 631.334.1445

C E R T I F I C A T EI, Adrienne M. Mignano, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the case of GMO Gamecenter USA v. Whinstone US, Inc.; Docket #22CV5974 was prepared using digital transcription software and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Signature Adrienne M. Mignano ADRIENNE M. MIGNANO, RPR Date: August 22, 2023